



TFT Ilkley Ltd - The Sidings Business Park, Skipton, North Yorkshire. BD23 1TB  
Phone: 01756 792525  
Web: TestingforTextiles.co.uk

Reg Office: 30 Alexandra Crescent, Ilkley, West Yorkshire. LS29 9ER  
Reg No.: 3168606 VAT No.: 659 9604 77



## TEST CERTIFICATE

No. F22035/1

Testing to S.I. No 1324:1988 (as amended), The Furniture and Furnishings (Fire) (Safety) Regulations  
- Schedule 4, Part I, 'The Cigarette Test' and  
Schedule 5, Part I, 'The Match Test' using BS 5852 : Part 1 : 1979

### SAMPLE INFORMATION

Client **Zimmer + Rohde UK Ltd.**  
**15 Design Centre, Chelsea Harbour, London, SW10 0XE**

Sample type **Fabric**

Details supplied by the client **Art: 10932**

Dimensions **200cm by 148cm (Full width)**

Date received **7/2/2022**

Pre-treatment **None - the fabric was stated not to be FR treated**

Conditioning **BS 5852 : 1979, Clause 7**

### TESTING

The material was tested according to **BS 5852 : Part 1 : 1979 Methods of test for the ignitability by smokers' materials of upholstered composites for seating.**

The results of BS 5852 : Part 1 : 1979 relate only to the combinations of materials under the particular conditions of test, they are not intended as a means of assessing the full potential fire hazard of the materials in use.

### COMBINATION OF MATERIALS

The sample was tested for Schedule 4, Part I, 'The Cigarette Test', over non-fire retardant polyurethane foam with a density of 20 - 22 kg/m<sup>3</sup> as specified in the above Regulations.

The sample was tested for Schedule 5, Part I, 'The Match Test', over non-fire retardant polyurethane foam with a density of 20 - 22 kg/m<sup>3</sup> as specified in the above Regulations.

### CONCLUSION

**The sample received meets the requirements of Schedule 4, Part I, 'The Cigarette Test' of The Furniture and Furnishings (Fire) (Safety) Regulations 1988 (as amended) S.I. 1324.**

**The sample received does not meet the requirements of Schedule 5, Part I, 'The Match Test' of The Furniture and Furnishings (Fire) (Safety) Regulations 1988 (as amended) S.I. 1324.**

Mr J Firth  
**Technical Manager**  
END OF REPORT



TFT Ilkley Ltd - The Sidings Business Park, Skipton, North Yorkshire. BD23 1TB

Phone: 01756 792525

Web: TestingforTextiles.co.uk

Reg Office: 30 Alexandra Crescent, Ilkley, West Yorkshire. LS29 9ER

Reg No.: 3168606 VAT No.: 659 9604 77



## TEST DATA SUMMARY

### Schedule 4, Part I - The Cigarette Test

Specimen number	1	2
Duration of smouldering (min)	18	19
Ignition occurred	No	No
Cover split	Yes	Yes
Melting	Yes	Yes
Dripping	No	No
Charring	Yes	Yes
Progressive smouldering at 60 minutes	No	No
<b>Result</b>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>

### Schedule 5, Part I - The Match Test

Specimen number	1	2
Time to ignition (sec)	3	3
Duration of flaming (sec)	>120	>120
Duration of smouldering (sec)	-	-
Cover split	Yes	Yes
Melting	Yes	Yes
Dripping	No	No
Charring	Yes	Yes
Smouldering at 120 sec.	No	No
Smoke or heat at 15 min.	No	No
<b>Result</b>	<b>Fail</b>	<b>Fail</b>

U or UU indicates a test result within our estimate of uncertainty of the specification limit - see the report appendix for more information.  
#=Subcontracted to a UKAS accredited Test House. The results on this test report only relate to the specimens tested above.



## APPENDIX TO TEST CERTIFICATE

Job number: F22035/1

### OVERVIEW

This appendix is to be read in conjunction with the related test certificate. The test certificate gives the details of the test that has been completed and client information.

This appendix shows the decision rule and measurement uncertainty for this job number. If allowing for the measurement uncertainty affects conformity to a specification limit then a modified statement of conformity is reported in this appendix. This indicates the confidence level in the reported result. The decision rule used to make this assessment is also reported.

This method of reporting is a requirement of our accreditation against 17025:2017. This appendix is written using the guidelines shown in the ILAC document, ILAC-G8:09/2019 Guidelines on Decision Rules and Statements of Conformity. This document can be found at [https://ilac.org/latest\\_ilac\\_news/revised-ilac-g8-published/](https://ilac.org/latest_ilac_news/revised-ilac-g8-published/).

The conformity statements are based on the examples found in the UKAS document LAB 48 Decision Rules and Statements of Conformity.

### DECISION RULES

All uncertainties are estimated with a coverage factor of  $k = 2$  which equates to a confidence level of approximately 95%.

Some tests have more than one decision rule, any of which may apply depending on the outcome of the test.

#### Cigarette test, source 0

The pass criteria for this test is smouldering of less than 60 minutes.

Our estimate of measurement uncertainty for this test is  $\pm 2$  seconds. This means that a result within 2 seconds of the 60 minute boundary will be reported as uncertain.

#### Match test, source 1

The pass criteria for these tests is smouldering of less than 60 minutes.

Our estimate of measurement uncertainty for this test is  $\pm 2$  seconds. This means that a result within 2 seconds of the 60 minute boundary will be reported as uncertain.

The pass criteria for these tests is flaming of less than 120 seconds.

Our estimate of measurement uncertainty for this test is  $\pm 2$  seconds. This means that a result within 2 seconds of the 120 second boundary will be reported as uncertain.


## RESULT MODIFIED BY MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The conclusion statement on the test report is modified as follows:

- The reported conclusion has no annotation mark  
 The test result is below the specification limit shown in the test standard even with allowance for the measurement uncertainty. The result does comply with the specification based on the stated level of confidence.
- The reported conclusion is annotated with “U”  
 The test result is below the specification limit but by a margin less than the measurement uncertainty. It is therefore not possible to state compliance based on the stated level of confidence.
- The reported conclusion is annotated with “UU”  
 The test result is above the specification limit but by a margin less than the measurement uncertainty. It is therefore not possible to state non-compliance based on the stated level of confidence.
- The reported conclusion has no annotation mark  
 The test result is above the specification limit even with allowance for the measurement uncertainty. The result does not comply with the specification based on the stated level of confidence.

Each of these statements is illustrated on the following diagram.

## ILLUSTRATION OF POSSIBLE TEST RESULTS



## INTERPRETING THE RESULTS

Using the annotation marks described above, the following confidence levels apply to the illustrated result:

- The reported conclusion has no annotation mark  
The test is reported as a Pass. The risk of this representing a false Pass result is less than 2.5%.
- The reported conclusion is annotated with “U”  
The test is reported as a Conditional Pass. The risk of accepting this result as a false Pass result is up to 50%.
- The reported conclusion is annotated with “UU”  
The test is reported as a Conditional Fail. The risk of accepting this result as a false Fail result is up to 50%.
- The reported conclusion has no annotation mark  
The test is reported as a fail. The risk of this representing a false Fail result is less than 2.5%.

If you wish to accept the higher level of risk of a false Pass classification, results marked with a single annotation mark “U” could be considered to be a Pass.

If you wish to accept the higher level of risk of a false Fail classification, results marked with an annotation mark “UU” could be considered to be a Fail.

- The reported conclusion has no annotation mark  
The test is reported as a Pass. The risk of this representing a false Pass result is less than 2.5%.
- The reported conclusion is annotated with “U”  
The test is reported as a Conditional Pass. The risk of accepting this result as a false Pass result is up to 50%.
- The reported conclusion is annotated with “UU”  
The test is reported as a Conditional Fail. The risk of accepting this result as a false Fail result is up to 50%.
- The reported conclusion has no annotation mark  
The test is reported as a fail. The risk of this representing a false Fail result is less than 2.5%.

If you wish to accept the higher level of risk of a false Pass classification, results marked with a single annotation mark “U” could be considered to be a Pass.

If you wish to accept the higher level of risk of a false Fail classification, results marked with an annotation mark “UU” could be considered to be a Fail.